

February 5, 2024

David Spencer, CBO, ACO  
2024 IECC Appeals Board Chair  
ICC Board of Directors

Spencer:

We Viewpoint on the 2024 IECC appeals  
- Economy This Viewpoint ub-  
include a summary of relevant ICC)

-03) We have  
-03 by ICC itself

Board should take to

with

<sup>3</sup> The

Code®



the four

as decided to consider appeals within

standards process

a transparent or consistent steward of a

choice for the Appeals Board:

<sup>5</sup> However, the

provisions that appellants have

general process issues is the

, rather, a binary

made broad errors in process and

r

If the Appeals Board

Appeals Board may consider  
Commercial

- the

2024 Resid

process and procedure, the Appeals Board must defer

of the

rendered t

vote

2

the process and procedures of the IECC development  
a reasoned of  
by 30

days are approval

IECC

- and approval of the full 2024 IECC-  
as the Consensus

*in their totality* The Appeals Board thus cannot

line-item

veto of the 2024 IECC

A further broad proc E0 (1)-4 upr30 (1)isC)2 sCelisCithmansotheapealsCbeithe pr30 (1)-2 (1)T(1)-4 upr230 (1)r)0 (1)

the  
, for the reasons

and

December 3, 2023, deadline: we urge the Appeals Board to reject all appeals and let the ICC's  
Consensus Committee's judgement stand

3.

aside this fact for now: -03 does not allow for appeals based on scope and intent  
without a process or procedure issue has chosen to

7

any appeal considered from this viewpoint, the relevant appeals  
– those by – scope or  
-item veto to the

four relevant appeals are similar in

their authors make a mistake common by

allowance ~~00000380050000201A month E1 03 0023 (E0-0005 f0004 Tc623 (E0-000a20 Tf000 Tc -0~~  
~~00000380050000201A month E1 03 0023 (E0-0005 f0004 Tc623 (E0-000a20 Tf000 Tc -0~~

Further  
because





**The Appeals Board must reject the APGA appeal.**

December 3, 2023, deadline: the Appeals Board must take no action on these matters, or they will be in violation of ICC policy.

The ICC violated at least four of its own policies -03 when it ed the appeals by Board thus has no purview over these appeals and must either refuse to review them or them If the Appeals Board chooses not to follow that clear we have laid out in detail in this Viewpoint the bases for and procedure, absence of viable claims of v of lack of evidence for even if the appeals were valid to be reviewed by the Appeals Board, the appeals

*Jennifer Amann*  
Jennifer Amann

[Redacted]  
Jennifer Amann  
Senior Fellow